59 present

- Meeting ~~to be~~ called to order 6:15
- discussion over whom to facilitate
- suggested Woods, Cecely, Jay

- Cecily nominated Andrew for stack,
- discussed modified consensus - 75%.
Charles asked if we agreed, can be said?
- Cecely - last time, voted, agreed for it to
- Woods - P.O. Information - we only had 50% at that meeting.
(C) we can add to agenda - end of meeting go forward on.
Also 1 minute rule of thumb. Can do to check after 1 min
- have voted previously on a demand proposal work w/in the parameters of the people agenda is structured on that proposal
- Walter Addley - 1st fitness groups - will give proposal at end of meeting, about how to interact w/ Range Ops
(Jay) We did talk to not going to 12 o'clock 9 o'clock as a cut ~~off~~. Very unrepresentative
(Cecely) OK w/ cutting ~~off~~ at 9 P.M.
agree that
Indiana - we can temp check, but all vote take place before 9, but can prioritize convo,

report backs

① teach-in

② forum problem

① teach-in Leah yesterday @ new school => teach-in. on OWS. many depts, Eric, Jay, Cecily can, members of committee in audience - was very successful great discussion respectful, (tense) made her think. I spoke briefly a/b demand for jobs; economic context, why the proposed demand for jobs might be effective. Part of the problem some talked a/b history of demands in Soc Mvts, how we can be a persuasive mvt. Cecily talked a/b the history of the committee. Jay also spoke historically Frederick Douglass's quote.

② forum - took a Jay guy that controls the webpage, not G.A. unilaterally posted an ad @ a forum removed ad unless group DS & delete accounts

→ Did they give a reason why?

→ Cecily - editors decided to remove ad

Mirah - who controls the webpage?

→ Laurence - where did a list of 20 demand

Jay - Eric did research - a cyberspace group
99% put out demands. & a convention
in Philly. noted (attributed to us)
Laurence - do we want to address to
Cecily > whenever we get on forum - look
we can respond
Jake: are we going to GA
Walter: subgroup met w Vision & Goals,
& ppl in the Parks
~~Cecily~~:
Lee: a lot of us weren't aware of the
teach-in. How do we post the information
& ~~how can we~~ what is planned for next
Cecily forum, announced @ GA,
outreach. list serve. yahoo
Jay: at last meeting, @ groups. can
Demand OWS, we repeated ~~put~~
Lee: What a/b future mtgs
Cecily: Sun 6, tues 7 hrs &
Woods: here is not yet decided

Cecily: go to agenda
Ruth: ~~how~~ how do 2 agendas go togely
Cecily: they work together further demands

Cecily: we have discussion on proposal
then consensus test for prop/
address concerns/blocks/clarifying ?,
friendly amendments, then move to consensus?

then want to to modify
Wood: please stick to agenda
Andrew asked for names for stack
Cecily: proposed 15 for agenda on timeline
- the proposal - timeline to bring to GA
Jobs for All - Massive Public works
tax rich/corporations, end all war, and to
25 million jobs, expand education, healthy care
build housing, mass transit? build infrastructure
energy alternative, green, regardless of documentation

Say Cecily Walter Alexander Andrew
→ we are discussing a timeline

Say - ~~call~~ propose we go this Sunday if
this meets needs of the broad working
class. We should send a delegation to DC
this week. Biggest one right. But to ensure
we mobilize this Saturday → get lots of people to
Sunday General Assembly

Cecily friendly amendment! before
Tuesday meeting convene @ 4 go to
facilitation meeting. Accepted by all

Walter → We address today's GA was anti
demands. Overlying concerns for unions &
grade ↓ → too fast demands → media

dismissal. I think that a lot of ppl w have abt problem w this wonderful dam

Alexander - we don't want to alienate ppl as winter comes on, it will be harsh sometime in next 6 wks

Cecily clarification - Alex momentum w/ go down. Soon as possible. Recommend 2 weeks soon as

Shaun - agree w/ Cecily. Facilitation Team would be good agree w/ Jay that going to GA. Andrew's right about the main we need to make a moment. GA will create something stronger. This moment can be gone

Andrew → P.O. Clarification → ann Looking to get the GA to workshop it

Cecily - we are bringing to proposal. GA won't accept it as written. We expect to do get modified

Laurence - Any reason not bringing it Sunday.

Cecily - some ppl have diff proposals we could also bring other ideas

Andrew had? Andrew - Spoke council put back proposal. Work stopped. It would be

important/good to have an outreach day
Good to get GA/people in the park during
Cecily: will put it under outreach (working
Woods = you can get in line to speak
about process, point of info, clarification
& like/dislike.
→ I am concerned that this being hostile
b/c it's closely tied to Obama's jobs bill
Same pipe think we don't need demand
I'd like to see a proposal for demands at the
GA
Cecily: lets reopen stack after
Charles: reiterate Woods. Understand
This group wanted this demand to go
first. But this group is at Wall St & future
This is good demand but not the best to
go to GA first. guaranteed to go down
good as point of discussion about demands
Rather than shut down a demand &
many dirty, set up framework for demands

ERC (Solinger) agree w/ demand for future
Need to consider outsiders. NY Central
Labor Council - they also want day
Nov's mass march. To clean this a very
diff than Obama/democrats

Ben: I was there for it want jobs for all but a public works program.
Michael 3 demands ① max on wall St. Nov. 5 - ② protest raise demands for jobs, protection, public works, stop harassment of OWS. ③ appeal broadly to other unions
Cecily echos Eric It's clear that @ OWS general assembly there is convergence a/b demands 2 groups to converge ① sleeping @ Zuccotti ② working who can't sleep at Zuccotti W/ CLC echoing our demand we have a lot of support to our proposal. I think this will strengthen our mvt. PPL/unions can't sign on unless they know what we stand for
Diane: agree w Sunday. I would add amendment Glass/Steagal. I am concerned a/b Obama's assassination w/o due process This bodes poorly for an OWS should not be tolerated
Cecily - could be part of the vote
Lee - last meeting this motion failed. Concerned → this gets picked up by the press; Tom Hartman & others the next day discussed this stuff that came from the WG gets misrepresented as Occupy Wall St.

Point 5: everyone now likes this. We're not talking to the 99% ~~If a~~ The last applies to 15-20%
Paola — It's not true. We all know this proposal already passed. We lost time at the last meeting
Lee: we can revise part of this
Cecily: we also voted to keep
~~Eric the younger~~ — Lena mans 2 meeting ago. Media may latch on but ppl have a right to know. Jobs for all is a lot more to 20%. Some media release
Walter — this framework go to GA & what media will cover is our demonstration
Andrew: if we're worried about media than we can't have working groups.
~~Lee words~~ 20 minutes
Erica: my first time. I work w PoC working group Concerned that the demand initiatives is not taking into account other working discussions. Prisoner, Brutality. It's interesting to push for specific issues but we need to consider basic of the anti. Other spaces - need to make effort to ensure other ppl's interest.
3 points of info
Eric the older — most ppl haven't been by this just first of several. Not th

important but the easiest to get a consensus on. Many many more ideas. Just start of process. Not sole of most urgent

Jay we think this does address how this deals w/ vulnerable population, underserved incarcerated. This does respond.

Woods Thank you for the input

Mary: recommend that ppl propose other demands. Lets go on & more others first. Lets move forward

Gordane: I agree w/ Jay objectively speedy but I'm hearing a report back that PoC that they have demands. We can't exp incorporate & the unit rights

Cecily: Idea was this proposal goes to GA & then we open up to other WC. Absolutely, amend it if we are not adequate

Ronna feels strongly the struggle for full employment is broken unless there is redistribution of resources- transformation of Economic Inequality.

Just pens, paper plates

John Member of Santa Cruz Coalition - If we can donate brooms & garbage bags → we get harassed: Earth Restoration Group / Pippen Cafe Lucky Chevys

Erica small groups are not as effective
I'm not sure that consciousness is easy to communicate & how valuable ppl experience. We don't know the extent of the need
Words time - strike to deadline. We are getting off topic
Cecily: we should discuss this at outreach
Jay: this is around a demand - not to start a whole debate. Not just WG but ppl outside - the needs of the 99 %

Craig - demand is being made in a timely group > the entire mvt gets to speak on it; that's not an issue of GA get to vote demand
Erica formulation of demand is not in broad consensus can
Ann
Peter there is a sense that we can't speak w/o discussing w/ everyone / perfect. We just need to say something.

Mary - can we let the move it fwd > & then we meet in GA as a group In doing that reach out discuss
Andy > ppl leave mvmt on different ... we are going to lose of a good bit
Mary don't we have more to lose the longer we wait!

Cecily: ~~yes~~ - at 1st

Ant 1st meeting here. I support making it ~~future~~ expedient ① 99% is systemic we can't win anything if we only make moral appeals. I agree the media ② important is make demands. They'll pile up. It's dilemma that can't be coopted by the Democratic Party, or any ~~political~~ parties. We can pressure ~~anyone~~ its just exactly, I feel.

Chris > give up.

Cecily ~~Bay~~ Reitarde & Woods ~~have~~ demand a discussion

Andy - I friendly amendment - workshop on GA before or & workshopping would allow for amending at Cecily

Ruth proposal timeline is good, but we hear powerful voice by allies to send representation to caucus w/ group. Have group. Power of many

Cecily: our ideas was to push through, but it could be amended or tabled

Ruth → I think by prospects not friendly - go to other groups before going to GA

we need to go forward with this, then we can
Carmen ~~we are all in~~ many forms
Jennifer before these demands were voted on,
was there consultation of other
Cecily we did announce to GA opened up. We
had gone to OWS & fliers. We have not worked
workshopped w/ other groups. We cannot w/
any authority to claim to represent the 99%
Chris in response - we have to move quickly -
there is hostility from Zucotti, but maybe we
need a changer based.

discussion ensued about whether we have
voted on the agenda

'18 Point of process - can be open stock again
Cecily - I'm just proposing a consensus test
12

Woods: we were going to temp check
~~as~~ before not
→ 3} voted to vote on consensus test on Sunday
→ 12 voted

Cecily - those who abstained go to comment
Nicole from facilitator's
→ discussion about does 75%
Mike Mallory

Lee asks about how many voted for this
75% consensus
Words = out of order

Cecily - now move to consensus test
→ we can address in process
Proposal reiterated
→ open to amendments

Words are we also consensus on friendly amendment.

Cecily: includes the friendly amendments
Consensus test is Not a vote.
Jay If principle is a separate agenda item. I only accept amendment that we participate in ferenbaugh

Aaron point of process - what happens to unfriendly amendments. Need to be voted on assembly

Dissent expressed. 7 ppl

Cecily - if this proposal was not accepted we could vote on another proposal

2 minute break for pizza

Woods stake - 1 minute long. & if you're voice dissent for Sunday vote,

Cecily: Then we open to comm → to clarify. after concerns. Open to clarifying questions / responses

Andrea: can't vote until we address issues of education & outreach, getting in the way of consensus

Lee → follows Andrea - 2 meetings ago - Can't see this representing even 50%. Who even voted on it?

Aaron - not in favor b/c constituencies were left out. We need outreach before the proposal is written

Chris - problem if we bring to GA on Sunday with we need to look strategically beyond Occupy. Now we cultivate now. We can move independently of the GA / Occupy Space

Ruth: I agree w/ necessity of deep & deep support to draw these natural allies

Words Demands important. I don't want to be not expressive might limit demands

Andy: this wasn't workshopped. The unions aren't interested in discussing it; This is an economics bill, but there aren't numbers

Michael - generally supportive of the gist of proposal & sense of urgency

Why difference btw. 5 days & 2 weeks

Why does 1 week matter

under of part of the reason is b/c of what happened before. Nothing happen. Want ppl to be mindful of R/R something b/c of fearful of other disruptive ppl might do.

Charles I have demands. I'm not an anarchist. They'll be very opposed. Ppl are not sufficiently concerned that bringing a sunday demand of hunt other demands in the future. We should do something more general - but this not the best possible / very damaging to ~~to~~ lot of ppl disagree w/ this Working Group is trying do - does not represent the views of the 99% gonna alienate. We should crowd source.

Cecily - process moves to clarification

Eric would say agree that the next week will give us time to do outreach, including discuss at Zuccotti Park & going to other working groups.

Jay - yes, that's what we do b/w now. Are you open to ~~working~~ working based meetings

Jay - I think it would demonstrate process of the group, to allow this to be revised in ~~to~~ outreach. That is for GA - we have members of P.O.C, to incorporating popular

Jay: given what seems like general concerns → the list of many demands, can we clarify this

Jay yes, its just one demand. Part of the educational process

Cecily - will teachin bring demands to WC - do you seek to provide information to WC so they can bring amendments to GA. This allows for further discussion

Jay yes, of course. We need broad participation to bring this to GA, let ppl know, be prepared & educated before the GA. That's the appropriate

i what does friendly amendment mean

Jay - we can pick a small group

Lee - Since is agenda was based on the ~~demands~~ votes of 2 meeting ago. Who is here on Sunday? Dec 16 Should we meet in the future instead?

Dave - Why Sunday? Should we meet in the few days

Jay we'll meet Tues. Sunday is a weekend to facilitate most facilitators Union mobilizers of Saturday. Want to invite them on Saturday. Weather question. We don't know what's going to happen.

Encouragement we can add another meeting on Monday

Peter → concern that Sunday would limit ability to present future demands.

Jay even a flemming failure it sets up precedent for future demands

But you will allow no resources even w/ outreach

Jennifer: what's point of workshop
(Jay) clarify the demands. Ex: financials
teach-in can include workshop @ teach-in, Eric
presented the #'s. Why do we link the ones we clarify
why we include undocumented & formerly incarcerated.
(Woods) I voted for this demands If we do
teach-in & outreach will this include teach-ins &
demands in general
(J) yes, that will be fundamentally.
Eric, Jaunger ~ haven't we already decided on
many of these issues, & haven't we already agreed
to the timeline.
(J) yes the turnout speaks to how important
demo

Cedily = we ~~so~~ do a consensus test. Now we
move ~~from~~ to modified consens

30
32 Vote - fam >
55 for - 30
opposed - 16
~~59~~
~~52~~
16

71 to votes

but
Ruth sanday (2) weeks, but consensus based here
Jordana but outreach is not. Ruth wants outreach as getting working groups & getting report back
Jake could next weeks we could finalize
Jay makes modifications We have 2 proposals.
My modifications 2 weeks. we will do the outreach, present to GA, but we not modify.
Cecily why not open amendment - our group decided that there are lots of demands. That every one can go of WG can decide their own amendment to GA.
most democratic process is allow GA to decide WG do not represent working class
Extend deadline but no reason what's the point
Cecily - friendly amend to Ruths proposal → can we limit the time to next Sunday open possibilities of reasons we all go to different WG.

2 days

8:49 This extends timelines if possible revisions
It should be revisions made to the proposed
We would propose to bring the to
community groups. →
move Tuesday meeting to Thursday meeting.
Put to facilitators on Tuesday

Cecily
→ we can send ppl on Tues & outreach to
OWS grps & communities, have mtg on Thursday
Has to be in good faith.
- vote of strong consensus

Kevin
→ Eric Jr. if we submit this many parts of
OWS WGs, but what's important is GAs
Conversation of broader group - two days
Possible opening up of heart
but if we didn't reach consensus
on Thursday, do we still have to go forward,
Cecily - many concerns here. open back up
will lead to nothing to present.
Woods → some ppl didn't vote but think
we shouldn't present a document
we should present to GA/WG proposed
Cecily amended proposal by Sunday,
We will address proposed amendments on
Thursday. We will still present on Sunday

Russ want to incorporate demands of others WGs, yes I accept as sort of friendly amender

Cindy consensus temp on new proposal

Andy ⇒ I wish other WGs aren't going to have time - We spent 3 voting to not and bring this proposal to GA.
I propose that either come to amendment or drop. ↘ different game

Alma I have similar issues WG, represent working class. We should ask them to give input, a couple sentences

Lee Rud → I've heard the term Working Class, different than the 99%

clarifying ?

many ppl voted vs. b/c it was afraid it would get down in flames.

I thought we needed a proposal on Sunday

Question to cancer, these concerns are based on hypothetical.

I think the fear a/b Thursday meeting no amendment will be accepted, Suggestion Not in good faith,

Cecily-everyone in Demands Committee is on good faith for demand

Vote/consents

amendment. We met Thurs - Thursday Spring Break
1PM → many as possible GA on Tuesday
all conduct outreach, which includes
accepting amendment "organizing w/other orgs
engage in discussion — w/ ~~Walter~~ Working
Groups & to community groups, invite all
groups to Tuesday, take for presentation to
GA.

35
46 → pass

10 (Walter) → working on proposal for Demands group.

(Jay) P.O.I. → postpone till next Sunday

Cecily → we are all responsible to participate in outreach
→ bring amendments to GA
(Jay) we can also bring back steps

Facilitation meeting - 6D Adam, 4 PM @ Tues.